



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**Patent and Trademark Office**  
**Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS**  
**Washington, D.C. 20231**

08/046,335

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKETT NO.
---------------	-------------	-----------------------	----------------------

08/046,335 04/12/93 BOWKER

K 5236-101C

TUNG, B EXAMINER

26M2/1115

M. JOHN CARSON  
 ROBBINS, BERLINER & CARSON  
 201 NORTH FIGUEROA STREET  
 FIFTH FLOOR  
 LOS ANGELES, CA 90012-2628

2615  
DATE MAILED:

5

**EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD**

11/15/94

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Bryan S. Tung (3)

(2) Peter J. Lippman (22,255) (4)

Date of interview 8-3-94

Type:  Telephonic  Personal (copy is given to  applicant  applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:  Yes  No. If yes, brief description:Agreement  was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question.  was not reached. N/A

Claims discussed: N/A

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner  
 agreed to contact Mr. Lippman before mailing a response to  
 an amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this Interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

*Bryan S. Tung*  
Examiner's Signature



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

08/046,335

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKETT NO.
---------------	-------------	-----------------------	----------------------

08/046,335 04/12/93 BOWKER

K 5236-101C

TUNG, B EXAMINER

26M2/1115

M. JOHN CARSON  
RUBBINS, BERLINER & CARSON  
201 NORTH FIGUEROA STREET  
FIFTH FLOOR  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012-2628

2615

4

DATE MAILED:

## EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

11/15/94

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Peter Lippman (22,835)

(3)

(2) Bryan Tung

(4)

Date of interview 7-27-94

Type:  Telephonic  Personal (copy is given to  applicant  applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:  Yes  No. If yes, brief description:Agreement:  was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question.  was not reached. 1/14

Claims discussed: 33-40

Identification of prior art discussed: Alfano et al., Knight et al., Takata et al.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative discussed the proposed amendment sent by facsimile. The Examiner will consider the new claims proposed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

PTOL-413 (REV. 2-93)

APPLICANT'S COPY

Examiner's signature



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

08/046,335

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKETT NO.
08/046,335	04/12/93	BOWKER	K 5236-101C

26M2/1115

TONG, B EXAMINER

M. JOHN CARSON  
ROBBINS, BERLINER & CARSON  
201 NORTH FIGUEROA STREET  
FIFTH FLOOR  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012-2628

ART UNIT  
2615

7

DATE MAILED:  
11/15/94

## EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Peter Lippman (22,835) (3)

(2) Bryan Tong (4)

Date of interview 11-2-94

Type:  Telephonic  Personal (copy is given to  applicant  applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:  Yes  No. If yes, brief description:Agreement:  was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question.  was not reached.

Claims discussed: n/a

Identification of prior art discussed: Kita et al., Takaoka et al.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner agreed that the rejections applied in Paper No 3 were overcome by Applicant's response. The Examiner and Applicant's attorney discussed a proposed rejection using Kita et al and Takaoka et al. The Examiner agreed to issue a non-final office action containing the proposed rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., Items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner's Signature